EISS Conference 2021 Zagreb Tackling new forms of work in social security University of Zagreb, 23 - 24 September 2021

Social protection for non-standard workers and the self-employed in the EU. Lessons from the Covid-19 pandemic

Slavina Spasova

Senior Researcher, European Social Observatory (OSE)



Outline of the talk

- I. Access to social protection for non-standard workers (NSE) and the self-employed (SE) in the EU: an overview
- II. Lessons from the Covid-19 pandemic
- III. Conclusions and future perspectives





Non-standard workers

- ✓ A natural person having a contract with an employer which falls outside of a 'standard working relationship', i.e. defined as full-time open-ended contracts
- Same statutory access to social protection as standard workers



- No or only partial statutory access to some contributory schemes for certain categories of employment
- ✓ Seasonal work (RO, LV, HU, MT, LT), mini-jobbers (DE), marginal parttimers (AT), Civil law contracts (PL), Agreement to perform a job (CZ)



• **Effective access for NSE** may be hindered by contributory conditions. Some examples:

✓ Unemployment benefits

- In no less than 15 MS, the qualifying period stands at one year and even two years in two countries.
- In 21 MS, the duration of benefits varies by length of contributions or age, or a combination of both conditions.



- Estonia: 36% of newly registered unemployed people receive neither unemployment benefits (insurance-based) nor unemployment allowances (means-tested), partially due to the lack of the required employment record (2016).
- Finland: 86% standard workers, full time fixed-term 64.6%, part-time permanent 61.1%, part-time fixed term 51.6%, zero-hours contract 47.4% (2016).

✓ Maternity benefits

- In 1/3 Member States, the minimum contribution period is null or quasi null; for another 1/3, it is 6 months; close to 12 months for the others

- Self-employed a wide variation in access to social protection:
- Among countries
- Within a country
- ✓ Depending on the benefit schemes (contributory vs noncontributory)
- ✓ Depending on the categories of the self-employed
- ❖ Historical categories (e.g. liberal professions, farmers), newly created statuses ('auto-entrepreneur' in France), 'dependent selfemployed' (e.g. DE, ES, IT, PT)

Main gaps in statutory access to social protection for the self-employed

Insurance-based so protection schemes	cial	No access	Access avaible	
			Mandatory	Voluntary
Unemployment		BE DC CV FEB ITH NL, LTb,	CZ, FR ^b IE ^{bc}	AT, DE DK,
		LV 33%	HR, HU, LU,	EL ^b , ES, FI, RO,
			MT, PL, SI	SK, SE
Accidents at work and occupational diseases	and	BEe NLb 33% , LV,	EE, EL, HR,	AT ^c , DK, DE,
			HU, IT, PL, LU,	ES ^d , FI ^d , FR ^b ,
			MT, SE, SI	PT, RO ^d
Sickness benefits		ELb, FE IT	ATc, BE, CY,	
		11%	DE ^c , DK, ES ^d ,	
			FI, FR, HR,	BG, CZ, EE,
			HU, LU, LT,	NL, PL, ROd
			LV, MT, PT°,	
			SE, SI, SK ^c ,	

a) Access only to means-tested benefits b) Access only for certain categories of SE c) OPT- OUT and exemptions d) Compulsory /voluntary access depending on the category of SE e) access to equivalent benefits

- I. Access to social protection for non-standard workers and the self-employed in the EU: an overview
- Self-employed: effective access to social protection. In most cases, same access rules as for workers.
- Issues
- Qualifying conditions tailored to salaried employment
- Shorter duration of benefits (unemployment in FR, ES, EL; sickness benefits in AT, PT)
- Waiting periods sickness benefits (BE, EE, HR, LU, PL, SE, SI, PT)



- I. Access to social protection for non-standard workers and the self-employed in the EU: an overview
- 'Cessation of activity' requirements for unemployment benefits
- Voluntary access, opt- out and exemptions
- ✓ Romania: only 10 % of the self-employed are covered for old-age benefits, invalidity, sickness or maternity benefits (2016).
- ✓ Czech Republic: only 15% of SE (main economic activity) contribute to sickness insurance (2016).
- ✓ Finland: coverage of the second-tier voluntary unemployment insurance: solo self-employed: 20%; and for self-employed with employees only about 10%



The way the income assessment base is determined

- ✓ Income paid on long previous periods of earnings, upfront payments (advance social security payments), payments of arrears
- Latvia: 85%-90% of self-employed pay contributions based only on a minimum monthly wage.
- Spain: the average monthly base of the self-employed is approximately 36% lower than that of salaried workers. 86.1% of self-employed are insured at minimum contribution base.
- Underreporting or non reporting income
- Low level of benefits incentive not to contribute



- **❖** Recent reforms on granting/ improvement of access for the SE (2017-2020)
- Maternity leave: improvement of conditions (BE, DK)
- Invalidity: IE
- Unemployment insurance: DK (harmonization SE/workers), FR, IE, MT (access to UB), ES (mandatory)
- Sickness benefits: reducing the waiting period (BE, PT)
- Changes in the way the income base is calculated: PT, PL
- Administrative burden reduction: EE, FI





A variety of emergency measures: making social protection schemes more generous and accessible

Unemployment benefits

- Most MS have modified the main parameters of UB (exceptions CY, CZ, HR, HU, NL, SI)
- Relaxing qualifying conditions (only in EL, ES, FI, FR, LV, PT, SE)
- Improved access for workers who do not have enough contributory period
- Self-employed: mostly waiving waiting periods and the "cessation of activity requirement".
- Access for some categories of NSE (e.g. FR: *Intermittents du spectacle*; ES: domestic workers, occupations in the cultural sectors, bullfighters).
- Self-employed no access granted (exception e.g. IT: "Extraordinary allowance to guarantee income and operational continuity").
- Temporary measures and those without formal access to unemployment benefits remained mostly excluded in times of Covid-19.

Observatory

Sickness benefits

- Extension of duration and circumstances related to Covid-19
- Qualifying conditions have not been changed in most MS so pre-existing shortcomings in the protection of specific categories of workers remain

Covid-19 related leave arrangements: childcare (21 MS)

- More generous than parental leave
- Non-standard workers included (even specific categories of NSW; domestic workers in PT, 'agreement to perform a job' in CZ)
- ✓ Belgium: employees working less than 75% working hours are excluded
- Self-employed included in (only) 13 out of 21 MS



❖ Job retention (JR) schemes

- Main tool to cushion potentially disastrous effects, on employment and household income (short-time work schemes (SWT), wage subsidies (WS) and income replacement for the SE)
- Support for more than one quarter of the workforce in the EU
- Changes to the institutional design of SWT and WS, both to include workers previously not covered and to facilitate access.



- France: significant changes to the SWT ("activité partielle") to include NSE who were previously not covered (e.g. freelances, sales representatives, domestic workers paid on a piecework basis, intermittent workers in the entertainment industry, models and students).
- Germany: inclusion of temporary agency workers but no coverage for mini-jobbers, one of the most severely affected workers.
- Belgium: inclusion of temporary agency workers but some specific conditions that may hinder access.
- Spain: eligibilty conditions abolished in the temporary unemployment scheme (ERTE).

- **❖** Income replacement for the SE: a variety of ... basic support
- To remedy the loss of revenues of the SE during the pandemic, MS implemented several temporary, mostly flat-rate and means-tested, income replacement benefits.
- How to make sense of these measures?
- MS which have not provided income replacement benefits (DE, ES, IE, HR, HU, MT, SE, SI, SK, SE), implementation of other types of measures (ALMP). Others have provided only economic support.
- The rest of the MS, which provided income replacement support, can be grouped into two broad categories.

First group, grants income replacement based on previous earnings while the second one provides lump sums.

Benefits calculated on previous	Lump-sum benefits	
earnings		
AT, DK, LV, PT, RO	BE, BG*, CY, CZ, EL, EE*, FI, FR,	
	IT, LT, PL, NL	



- In the very few MS, where the benefit was calculated on the basis of previous earnings, the assessments are more positive than in countries which provided lump sums.
- Nevertheless, upper caps when applied in such schemes have limited the extent of income replacement.
- ❖ Most of the schemes clearly provided basic support through lump sums close to the minimum income, so can be estimated to have provided an insufficient replacement of previous income.

In some countries, when the ad hoc benefits were dependent on previous payment of social contributions, there have been **high rates of rejection of claims**, which brings to light the issue of underreporting or non-payment of contributions.

❖ Eurofound 2021: 58% of self-employed respondents reported that their household had difficulties making ends meet (April 2020) against 46% in April (2021).



III. Conclusions and future perspectives

- The abrupt health crisis underlined acute gaps in social protection systems.
- **Emergency social protection measures** during the pandemic: **improving access**, including coverage for non-standard workers and the self-employed.
- **Crucial** support **but limited, temporary stop-gaps** ...(minimum support for the self-employed).
- What will happen with those who do not have access to unemployment benefits when the measures will be phased out?
- Growing awareness, at national level, escpecially in the context of « bogus » self-employment and platform work.
 Several reforms have been going on improving access to social protection.



III. Conclusions and future perspectives

- **❖** EU level: to be followed
- Monitoring of the 2019 Council Recommendation on access to social protection for workers and the self-employed
- ✓ Plans submitted by MS (May 2021).
- ✓ COM to submit a final report to Council (November 2022).
- Consultation of the social partners under Article 154 TFEU on possible action on working conditions in platform work (2 stages: February September 2021).
- European Parliament resolution of 16 September 2021 on fair working conditions, rights and social protection for platform workers.
- Launch of a High-Level Expert Group to study the future of the welfare state.



Read (a lot) more...

- Baptista, I., Marlier, E., Spasova, S., Peña-Casas, R., Fronteddu, B., Ghailani, D., Sabato, S. and Regazzoni, P. (2021), "Social protection and inclusion policy responses to the COVID-19 crisis. An analysis of policies in 35 countries", European Social Policy Network (ESPN), Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- Pulignano, V., Domecka, M., Muszyński, K., Vermeerbergen, L., Riemann M-L., Creative labour in the era of Covid-19: the case of freelancers, ETUI Working Paper 2021.02, ETUI Brussels
- Schoukens P. and Weber E. (2020) Unemployment insurance for the self-employed: a way forward post-corona, Research Paper, Leuven, European Institute of Social Security (EISS)
- Schoukens P. (2020) 'Digitalisation and social security in the EU. The case of platform work: from work protection to income protection?', European Journal of Social Security, 1–18 (first published online).
- Spasova S., Bouget D., Ghailani D. and Vanhercke B. (2017) Access to Social protection of people working as self-employed or on non-standard contracts, Brussels, European Social Protection Network (ESPN), European Commission.
- Spasova S., Bouget D. and Ghailani D., Vanhercke B. (2019) Self-employment and social protection: understanding variations between welfare regimes, Journal of Poverty and Social Justice, 27 (2), 157–175.
- Spasova, S., Ghailani, D., Sabato, S., Coster, S., Fronteddu, B. and Vanhercke, B. (2021), Non

 standard workers and the self-employed in the EU: social protection during the Covid-19 pandemic, Brussels, European Trade Union Institute, 51 p
- Spasova, S. and Regazzoni, P., The self-employed and non-standard workers in job retention schemes during the Covid-19 pandemic: really protected?, Paper presented the ESPANET Conference Leuven (31 August- 3 September 2021)



Thank you for your attention!

Questions welcomed now or at spasova@ose.be

