THE CRIMINAL TAX PROCEDURE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF CONSENSUAL ELEMENTS

International workshop 15 November 2021

Position of Fiscal Authority in Criminal Proceedings, Plea Agreements at the Interface of Tax and Criminal Tax Proceedings in Croatia

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Marin Bonačić, Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb

Supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project "Systematic approach to models of negotiated justice in Croatian criminal procedure" (IP-2019-04-1275)

The Position of Tax and Customs Authorities in Misdemeanor and Criminal Procedure (1)

- Different role
 - For tax and customs evasion the limit is approx. € 2.700 in Croatian Kunas
 - Intention for criminal offence
 - In misdemeanour procedure: authorised prosecutor, but also the adjudicator in the first instance (High Misdemeanor Court in the second instance)
 - In criminal procedure: file a crime report to the State Attorney's Office, assists with detection and investigation of criminal offences municipal and county courts

The Position of Tax Authorities in Misdemeanor and Criminal Procedure (2)

- The problems with ne bis in idem principle
- The solutions temporary instructions, the prosecutor has to chose one option
 - Problem the prosecutors are not the same
- Art 10 of MA if a criminal procedure has commenced, the misdemeanour proceedings cannot be instituted, and if they were instituted, proceedings has to be terminated
- Maybe it will change after ECtHR A. and B vs. Norway judgment

Consensual procedures in criminal procedure

- Introduction of many procedures in the last 20 years
- Conclusion that the legislator has not always been consistent
- Regarding gravity of criminal offences, the role of the court, victims' rights and procedural and defence rights
- Some procedures are being used in tax and customs cases

Consensual procedures in fiscal criminal proceedings

- For less serious offences up to five years of imprisonment
 - the penal order and
 - judgment in the case of a guilty plea at the trial
- For all criminal offences judgment based on the agreement of the parties
- For more serious offences
 - the crown witness (or abolishment or reduction of sentences)
 - witness immunity

Penal Order

- Criminal offences punishable by a fine or imprisonment of up to five years
- A conviction without holding a trial if:
 - The court agrees with the request
 - The defendant tacitly agrees by not lodging an objection
- Lodging an objection results in holding a trial
- In practice from 37% in 2017 40.5% of all indictments
 - Legal persons between 22.7% and 34.5%
 - In practice no informal plea bargaining before issuing of penal order

Guilty Plea at the Trial

- Tacit agreement, introduced in 2002
- For criminal offences punishable by a fine or imprisonment of up to five years
- In the indictment, the state proposed (has to) certain type and measure of punishment to the court
- if the accused pleaded guilty and agreed with the proposal, the court could not impose another type or greater measure of punishment than the proposed one

Judgment based on the agreement of the parties

- First introduced in 2002, but widened in 2008
- For all criminal offences
- The statement of the parties on the agreement is submitted to a court
 - The court may refuse it (only) if it is not in accordance with the sentencing prescribed by law, or if the agreement is not otherwise lawful
 - Practice the court cannot reject if not satisfied with the proposed sentence
 - In misdemeanor preceedings it is possible reject if the sentence is to the detriment of the accused or the purpose of punishment will not be achieved

Conclusion

- Different roles in Misdemeanor and Criminal proceedings
- Many consensual forms that can be used for tax and customs related criminal offences
- The decision tax or customs authorities in musdemeanor proceedings
 - state attorney in criminal procedure

Thank you for your attention!

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Marin Bonačić, Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb marin.bonacic@pravo.hr